IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.498 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.2519 OF 2006

Shri.Nitendrasingh @ Nitin Kumarsingh Patil. ..Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and 6 Ors. ...Respondents.

AND

Shri.Sandeep Dharanshankar Singh ..Applicant.

....

Mr.Ramesh M. Agrawal, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms.Asmita Sarangdhar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr.D.S.Mhaispurkar, APP, for Respondent Nos.2 to 5-State.

....

CORAM : SMT.RANJANA DESAI AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATED : JANUARY 19, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. We have heard Mr.Agrawal the learned counsel for the applicant, Ms.Sarangdhar the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Mhaispurkar the learned APP for respondents 2 to 5-State.

2. This is an application for intervention by the

original complainant. In our opinion, in the circumstances of the case, the application for intervention needs to be granted. Hence, the application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. Criminal application is disposed of accordingly.

[SMT.RANJANA DESAI,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]